



## Trust Board – 25.03.25

### Board Performance Report - February 2025 (Month 11)

#### Purpose of the report

To provide the Trust Board with an overview of Trust performance against an agreed set of KPI's for February 2025 (M11 of 2024/25).

#### Analysis of the issue

The report is presented to the Trust Board following review at appropriate committees.

#### Proposal

The following should be noted by the Trust Board when reviewing the report and looking ahead to the next reporting period:

- Negotiations continue with NHSE to enable the measurement of the '72-hour follow-up after discharge' metric to exclude Short Breaks in LD. Until these conversations are completed reported Trust performance continues to be adversely affected.

#### Decision required

The Trust Board is asked to:

- Approve the Performance Report.

## Governance table

<b>For Board and Board Committees:</b>	Trust Board	
<b>Paper sponsored by:</b>	Sharon Murphy, Director of Finance and Performance	
<b>Paper authored by:</b>	Pardeep Dhami, Information Analyst Prakash Patel, Head of Information Anne Senior, Associate Director	
<b>Date submitted:</b>	18.03.25	
<b>State which Board Committee or other forum within the Trust's governance structure, if any, have previously considered the report/this issue and the date of the relevant meeting(s):</b>	November Accountability Framework Meeting.	
<b>If considered elsewhere, state the level of assurance gained by the Board Committee or other forum i.e. assured/ partially assured / not assured:</b>	None	
<b>State whether this is a 'one off' report or, if not, when an update report will be provided for the purposes of corporate Agenda planning</b>	Standard month end report	
<b>LPT strategic alignment:</b>	Great Health Outcomes	x
	Great Care	x
	Great Place to Work	x
	Part of the Community	
<b>CRR/BAF considerations:</b>	List risk number and title of risk	TBC
<b>Is the decision required consistent with LPT's risk appetite:</b>	Yes	
<b>False and misleading information (FOMI) considerations:</b>	None	
<b>Positive confirmation that the content does not risk the safety of patients or the public</b>	Yes	
<b>Equality considerations:</b>	None identified	